



For Office Use only:		
Date		
Ref		

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Kidman	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Ilkley	
Line 4	[REDACTED]	
Post Code	LS29 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 27.03.14

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	WD2
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------	--------	------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

It is difficult to understand what kind of 'transformation and change' is envisaged for Wharfedale in WD2. Wharfedale does not need urban regeneration. It is not under-performing, economically, socially or educationally. Unemployment is low.

It would not be possible to improve the road infrastructure without damaging the environment, either in Ilkley or the rural areas of Wharfedale.

There is a reference to 'key brownfield sites' in Ilkley town centre, but it is hard to identify any which are not already determined.

If improvements are going to be made to access to Bradford and Airedale from Wharfedale, there has to be a PURPOSE for going there. They do not tend to work in Bradford. They do not use retail services in Bradford. The only purpose most people in Ilkley have for going to Bradford or Airedale is to access hospital services. This is largely because of the political decision to place some hospital services at Airedale rather than at Otley or even at the Coronation Hospital in Ilkley. For employment, leisure, retail and college education most people in Wharfedale would tend to go to Leeds.

Any releases from green belt would be contrary to government policy (NPPF, senior minister's public statements and assurances). Releasing high-value landscape areas of Wharfedale for housing is both unsustainable and defiant of the HRA. It would not prevent settlements from coalescing or urban sprawl. It would not keep Ilkley as a distinct place. It is not possible to substitute other potential green belt sites in the Ilkley area, because there is no land unallocated which could become green belt to protect the area.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The plan either needs to be specific about what transformation is needed in Ilkley or the reference to it should be deleted.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
yes	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To provide a more detailed explanation.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:		Date:	27.03.14
---------------	---	-------	----------

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

